CrossMark

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# On Pointwise Lacunary Statistical Convergence of Order $\alpha$ of Sequences of Function

Mikail Et<sup>1,2</sup> · Hacer Şengül<sup>2</sup>

Received: 5 March 2014/Revised: 13 January 2015/Accepted: 22 January 2015/Published online: 15 May 2015 © The National Academy of Sciences, India 2015

**Abstract** In this paper we introduce the concepts of pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  and pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summability of order  $\alpha$  of sequences of real valued functions. Also some relations between pointwise  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ —statistical convergence and pointwise  $w^{\alpha}_{p}(f, \theta)$ —summability are given.

**Keywords** Statistical convergence · Sequences of function · Cesàro summability

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 40A05 · 40C05 · 46A45

## 1 Introduction

The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus [1] and Fast [2] and later reintroduced by Schoenberg [3] independently. Over the years and under different names statistical convergence has been discussed in the theory of Fourier analysis, ergodic theory, number theory, measure theory, trigonometric series, turnpike theory and Banach spaces. Later on it was further investigated from the sequence space point of view and linked

 Mikail Et mikailet@yahoo.com; mikailet68@gmail.com; met@firat.edu.tr; met@siirt.edu.tr
 Hacer Şengül hacer.sengul@hotmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Firat University, 23119 Elazig, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Siirt University, Siirt, Turkey with summability theory by Caserta et al. [4], Çakallı [5, 6], Çakallı and Khan [7], Connor [8], Et et al. [9, 10], Fridy [11], Güngör et al. [12], Kolk [13], Mursaleen [14], Salat [15], Tripathy et al. [16–18] and many others.

The definition of pointwise statistical convergence of sequences of real valued functions was given by Gökhan and Güngör [19] and independently by Duman and Orhan [20].

In this paper we introduce and examine the concepts of pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  and pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summability of order  $\alpha$  of sequences of real valued functions.

## 2 Definition and Preliminaries

The idea of statistical convergence depends on the density of subsets of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers. The asymptotic density of a subset *E* of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by

$$\delta(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi_E(k)$$
 provided the limit exists,

where  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of *E*. It is clear that any finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has zero natural density and  $\delta(E^c) = 1 - \delta(E)$ .

The order of statistical convergence of a sequence of numbers was given by Gadjiev and Orhan in [21] and after that statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  and strong *p*-Cesàro summability of order  $\alpha$  was studied by Colak [22].

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$  such that  $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ . Throught this paper the intervals determined by  $\theta$  is denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and the ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  is abbreviated by  $q_r$ . Recently lacunary sequence have been studied by several authors [23–33].

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  be any real number. A sequence of functions  $\{f_k\}$  is said to be pointwise  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ —statistically convergent (or pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ ) to the function f on a set A, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_{r}^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_{r} : |f_{k}(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|$$
  
= 0

where  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h^{\alpha} = (h_r^{\alpha}) = (h_1^{\alpha}, h_2^{\alpha}, \dots, h_r^{\alpha}, \dots)$ . In this case we write  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on *A*.  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  means that for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , there is an integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}}|\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}| < \delta,$$

for all  $n > n_0(=n_0(\varepsilon, \delta, x))$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The set of all pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ will be denoted by  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ . For  $\theta = (2^r)$ , we shall write  $S^{\alpha}(f)$ instead of  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$  which were defined and studied by Çınar et al. [34] and in the special case  $\alpha = 1$ , we write  $S_{\theta}(f)$ instead of  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ .

Pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  of sequence of functions is well defined for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , but is not well defined for  $\alpha > 1$ . For this let  $\{f_k\}$  be defined as follows:

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 2r \\ \frac{kx}{1+k^2x^2} & k \neq 2r \end{cases} \quad r = 1, 2, 3..., x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

Then, both

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - 1| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|$$
$$\leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{2h_r^{\alpha}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{h_r}{2h_r^{\alpha}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - 0| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|$$
$$\leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{2h_r^{\alpha}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{h_r}{2h_r^{\alpha}} = 0$$

for  $\alpha > 1$ , and so  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = 1$  and  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = 0$ .

It is easy to see that every convergent sequence of functions is statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ). The following example shows that the converse of this does not hold. The sequence { $f_k$ } defined by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = n^3 \\ \frac{2kx}{1 + k^2 x^2} & k \neq n^3 \end{cases}$$

is statistically convergent of order  $\alpha$  with  $S^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = 0$  for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$ , but it is not convergent.

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and p be a positive real number. A sequence of functions  $\{f_k\}$  is said to be pointwise  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$ —summable (or pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summable of order  $\alpha$ ), if there is a function f such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p = 0$$

In this case we write  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on *A*. The set of all pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summable sequence of functions order  $\alpha$  will be denoted by  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$ .

Let A be any non empty set, by B(A) we denote the set of all bounded real valued functions defined on A.

## **3 Main Results**

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  be any real number and  $\{f_k\}, \{g_k\}$  be sequences of real valued functions defined on a set A.

(*i*) If  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim cf_k(x) = cf(x)$ , (*ii*) If  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} = \lim f(x) = f(x)$  and  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} = \lim c(x) = c(x)$ 

(*ii*) If  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  and  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim g_k(x) = g(x)$ , then  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim (f_k(x) + g_k(x)) = f(x) + g(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$  ( $\alpha \le \beta$ ). Then  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S^{\beta}_{\theta}(f)$  and the inclusion is strict for the case  $\alpha < \beta$ .

*Proof* To show the strictness of the inclusion  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S^{\beta}_{\theta}(f)$ , let us define a sequence  $\{f_k\}$  by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = r^2 \\ \frac{kx+2}{1+k^2x^2} & k \neq r^2 \\ \end{cases}, \quad x \in [2,3].$$

Then  $x \in S^{\beta}_{\theta}(f)$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \le 1$ , but  $x \notin S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$  for  $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$  and p be a positive real number. Then  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) \subseteq w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta)$  and the inclusion is strict for the case  $\alpha < \beta$ .

*Proof* Taking  $\theta = (2^r)$  we show the strictness of the inclusion  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) \subseteq w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta)$  for a special case. For this consider the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  defined by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{k^2 x^2}{1 + k^2 x^2} & k = n^2, \\ 0 & k \neq n^2 \end{cases}, \quad x \in [1, 2].$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{\beta}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f_{k}(x)-0|^{p}\leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n^{\beta}}=\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}}\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n\rightarrow\infty$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f_{k}(x)-0|^{p}\geq\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2n^{\alpha}}\rightarrow\infty\text{ as }n\rightarrow\infty$$

and so the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  is pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summable of order  $\beta$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \le 1$ , but is not pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$  summable of order  $\alpha$  for  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ .

The following result is established using standard techniques, so we state the result without proof.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ and  $0 . If a sequence of functions <math>\{f_k\}$  is pointwise  $w_p(f, \theta)$ —summable of order  $\alpha$ , to the function f, then it is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\beta$ , to the function f.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  then  $S^{\alpha}(f) \subset S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ .

*Proof* Suppose that  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r \ge 1 + \delta$  for sufficiently large *r*, which implies that

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \Longrightarrow \left(\frac{h_r}{k_r}\right)^{\alpha} \ge \left(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}\right)^{\alpha} \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{k_r^{\alpha}} \ge \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(1+\delta)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}}.$$

If  $S^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on *A*, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for sufficiently large *r*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{k_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \le k_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\ge &\frac{1}{k_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\ge &\frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(1+\delta)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|; \end{aligned}$$

this proves the proof.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If  $\limsup_{r \to \infty} q_r < \infty$  then  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subset S(f)$ .

*Proof* If  $\lim \sup_r q_r < \infty$ , then there is an H > 0 such that  $q_r < H$  for all r. Suppose that  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on A and let  $N_r = |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon$ , for every  $x \in A\}|$ . By the definition for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$\frac{N_r}{h_r^{\alpha}} < \varepsilon \Longrightarrow \frac{N_r}{h_r} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } r > r_0.$$

The rest of proof follows from Lemma 3 in [26].  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If  $\liminf_r \frac{h_r^{\alpha}}{k_r} > 0$  then  $S(f) \subset S_{\theta}^{\alpha}(f)$ .

*Proof* For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \le k_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|$$
  
$$\ge \frac{1}{k_r} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|$$
  
$$= \frac{h_r^{\alpha}}{k_r} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x \in A\}|.$$

Taking limit as  $r \to \infty$ , we get  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha} - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on A.

The proofs of the following theorems are obtained by using the standard techniques.

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{r \to 1} q_r > 1$  then  $w_p^{\alpha}(f) \subset w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$ .

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then  $w_p(f, \theta) \subseteq w_p(f)$ .

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and 0 $then <math>w_a^{\alpha}(f, \theta) \subseteq w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$ .

Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subseteq J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ . Now we give some inclusion relations between the sets of  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ —statistically convergent sequences and pointwise  $w^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$ —summable sequences for different  $\alpha's$  and  $\theta's$  which also include Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 as a special case.

**Theorem 3.11** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subset J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ 

(i) If

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf \frac{h_r^{\alpha}}{\ell_r^{\beta}} > 0 \tag{1}$$

then  $S^{\beta}_{\theta'}(f) \subseteq S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$ , (ii) If

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ell_r}{h_r^\beta} = 1 \tag{2}$$

then  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S^{\beta}_{\theta'}(f)$ , where  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ ,  $J_r = (s_{r-1}, s_r]$ ,  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$  and  $\ell_r = s_r - s_{r-1}$ .

*Proof* (*i*) Easy, so omitted.

(*ii*) Let  $(f_k(x)) \in S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$  and Eq. (2) be satisfied. Since  $I_r \subset J_r$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may write

255

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{k \in J_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{s_{r-1} < k \le k_{r-1} : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{k_r < k \le s_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{k_{r-1} < k \le k_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{k_{r-1} - s_{r-1}}{\ell_r^\beta} + \frac{s_r - k_r}{\ell_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \\ \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &= \frac{\ell_r - h_r}{\ell_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_r - h_r^\beta}{h_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_r - h_r^\beta}{h_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_r - h_r^\beta}{h_r^\beta} + \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{\ell_r - h_r^\beta}{h_r^\beta} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{h_r^2} |\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } x \in A\}| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S^{\beta}_{\theta'}(f)$ .

From Theorem 3.11 we have the following results.

**Corollary 3.11.1** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subseteq J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If Eq. (1) holds then

- (i)  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta'}(f) \subseteq S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $S_{\theta'}(f) \subseteq S_{\theta}^{\alpha}(f)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $S_{\theta'}(f) \subseteq S_{\theta}(f)$  and for all  $x \in A$ .

If Eq. (2) holds then

- (i)  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S^{\alpha}_{\theta'}(f)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $S^{\alpha}_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S_{\theta'}(f)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ , (iii)  $S_{\theta}(f) \subseteq S_{\theta'}(f)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.12** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subseteq J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$  and 0 . Then we have

- (i) If Eq. (1) holds then  $w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta') \subset w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$  for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii) Let Eq. (2) holds,  $f(x) \in B(A)$  and  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of bounded real valued functions defined on a set A then  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) \subset w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta')$  for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof* Suppose that Eq. (2) holds and  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of bounded real valued functions defined on a set *A*. Since  $f(x) \in B(A)$  then there exists some M > 0 such that  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| \le M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in A$ . Now, we may write

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\rho_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_r} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_r - I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r - h_r}{\ell_r^\beta}\right) M^p + \frac{1}{\ell_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r - h_r^\beta}{h_r^\beta}\right) M^p + \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^\beta} - 1\right) M^p + \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^p \end{split}$$

for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) \subset w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta')$ .

From Theorem 3.12 we have the following results.

**Corollary 3.12.1** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subset J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . If Eq. (1) holds then

IJ Eq. (1) notas then

- (i)  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta') \subseteq w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $w_p(f, \theta') \subseteq w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta)$  for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $w_p(f, \theta') \subseteq w_p(f, \theta)$  for all  $x \in A$ ,

Let Eq. (2) holds,  $f(x) \in B(A)$  and  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of bounded real valued functions defined on a set A, then

- (i)  $w_p^{\alpha}(f,\theta) \subset w_p^{\alpha}(f,\theta')$  for each  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $w_p^{\alpha}(f,\theta) \subset w_p(f,\theta')$  for each  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and for all  $x \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $w_p(f,\theta) \subset w_p(f,\theta')$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.13** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subseteq J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and 0 . Then

- (i) Let Eq. (1) holds, if a sequence of real valued functions defined on a set A is pointwise w<sup>β</sup><sub>p</sub>(f, θ')— summable to f, then it is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order α to the function f on a set A,
- (ii) Let Eq. (2) holds, f(x) ∈ B(A) and {f<sub>k</sub>} be a sequence of bounded real valued functions defined on a set A, if a sequence is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order α to the function f then it is pointwise w<sup>β</sup><sub>n</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f.

*Proof* (*i*) Let  $w_p^{\alpha}(f, \theta) - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$  on A and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we have

Since Eq. (1) holds, the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  is a pointwise lacunary statistically convergent sequence of order  $\alpha$  to the function f on a set A.

(*ii*) Suppose that the sequence  $\{f_k\}$  is a pointwise lacunary statistically convergent sequence of order  $\alpha$  to the function f on a set A. Since  $f(x) \in B(A)$  and  $\{f_k\}$  is a bounded sequence of real valued functions defined on a set A, there exists a M > 0 such that  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| \le M$  for all k. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may write

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\ell_r^{\beta}} \sum_{k \in J_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell_r^{\beta}} \sum_{k \in J_r - I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} + \frac{1}{\ell_r^{\beta}} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r - h_r}{\ell_r^{\beta}}\right) M^{p} + \frac{1}{\ell_r^{\beta}} \sum_{k \in I_r, x \in A} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r - h_r^{\beta}}{\ell_r^{\beta}}\right) M^{p} + \frac{1}{\ell_r^{\beta}} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r, x \in A}} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} - 1\right) M^{p} + \frac{1}{h_r^{\beta}} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon, x \in A}} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r^{\beta}} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |f_k(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon, x \in A}} |f_k(x) - f(x)|^{p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} - 1\right) M^{p} + \frac{M^{p}}{h_r^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon \\ \text{for every } x \in A \end{array} \right\} \right| + \frac{h_r}{h_r^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} - 1\right) M^{p} + \frac{M^{p}}{h_r^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon \\ \text{for every } x \in A \end{array} \right\} \right| + \frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} - 1\right) M^{p} + \frac{M^{p}}{h_r^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \in I_r : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon \\ \text{for every } x \in A \end{array} \right\} \right| + \frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ell_r}{h_r^{\beta}} - 1\right) M^{p} + \frac{M^{p}}{h_r^{\beta}} \\ &$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using Eq. (2) we obtain that  $w_p^{\beta}(f, \theta') - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$ , whenever  $S_{\theta}^{\alpha}(f) - \lim f_k(x) = f(x)$ .  $\Box$ 

From Theorem 3.13 we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.13.1** Let  $\alpha$  be any fixed real number such that  $0 < \alpha \le 1, 0 < p < \infty$  and let  $\theta = (k_r)$  and  $\theta' = (s_r)$  be two lacunary sequences such that  $I_r \subset J_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . If Eq. (1) holds then

- (i) If a sequence of real valued functions defined on a set A is pointwise w<sup>α</sup><sub>p</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f, then it is pointwise lacunary statistically convergent sequence of order α to the function f on a set A,
- (ii) If a sequence of real valued functions defined on a set A is pointwise w<sub>p</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f, then it is pointwise lacunary statistically convergent sequence of order α to the function f on a set A,
- (iii) If a sequence of real valued functions defined on a set A is pointwise w<sub>p</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f, then it is

pointwise lacunary statistically convergent sequence to the function f on a set A,

Let Eq. (2) holds,  $f(x) \in B(A)$  and  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of bounded real valued functions defined on a set A, then

- (i) If a sequence is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order α to the function f then it is pointwise w<sup>α</sup><sub>n</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f,
- (ii) If a sequence is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence of order α to the function f then it is pointwise w<sub>p</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f,
- (iii) If a sequence is pointwise lacunary statistical convergence to the function f then it is pointwise w<sub>p</sub>(f, θ')—summable to f.

#### References

- Steinhaus H (1951) Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. Colloq Math 2:73–74
- 2. Fast H (1951) Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq Math 2:241–244
- 3. Schoenberg IJ (1959) The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. Am Math Mon 66:361–375
- Caserta A, Giuseppe Di M, Kočinac LDR (2011) Statistical convergence in function spaces. Abstr Appl Anal Art ID 420419, 11 pp
- Çakallı H (1995) Lacunary statistical convergence in topological groups. Indian J Pure Appl Math 26(2):113–119
- Çakallı H (2009) A study on statistical convergence. Funct Anal Approx Comput 1(2):19–24
- Çakallı H, Khan MK (2011) Summability in topological spaces. Appl Math Lett 24(3):348–352
- Connor JS (1988) The statistical and strong p-Cesàro convergence of sequences. Analysis 8:47–63
- Et M (2003) Strongly almost summable difference sequences of order m defined by a modulus. Stud Sci Math Hungar 40(4): 463–476
- Et M, Çınar M, Karakaş M (2013) On λ-statistical convergence of order α of sequences of function. J Inequal Appl 2013:204, 8 pp
- Fridy JA (1985) On statistical convergence. Analysis 5:301–313
   Güngör M, Et M, Altın Y (2004) Strongly (V<sub>σ</sub>, λ, q)-summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions. Appl Math Comput 157(2):561–571
- Kolk E (1991) The statistical convergence in Banach spaces. Acta Comment Univ Tartu 928:41–52
- 14. Mursaleen M (2000)  $\lambda$ -statistical convergence. Math Slovaca 50(1):111–115
- Šalát T (1980) On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. Math Slovaca 30:139–150
- Tripathy BC, Sen M (2001) On generalized statistically convergent sequences. Indian J Pure Appl Math 32(11):1689–1694
- Tripathy BC, Baruah A, Et M, Güngör M (2012) On almost statistical convergence of new type of generalized difference sequence of fuzzy numbers. Iran J Sci Technol Trans A Sci 36(2):147–155
- Tripathy BC, Borgohain S (2013) Statistically convergent difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by Orlicz function. Thai J Math 11(2):357–370

- Gökhan A, Güngör M (2002) On pointwise statistical convergence. Indian J Pure Appl Math 33(9):1379–1384
- Duman O, Orhan C (2004) μ-statistically convergent function sequences. Czechoslovak Math J 54(129):413–422
- Gadjiev AD, Orhan C (2002) Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence. Rocky Mt J Math 32(1):129–138
- 22. Çolak R (2010) Statistical convergence of order α. Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications New Delhi India Anamaya Pub:121–129
- 23. Das G, Mishra SK (1983) Banach limits and lacunary strong almost convegence. J Orissa Math Soc 2:61–70
- 24. Et M, Şengül H (2014) Some Cesaro-type summability spaces of order  $\alpha$  and lacunary statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ . Filomat 28(8):1593–1602
- 25. Freedman AR, Sember JJ, Raphael M (1978) Some Cesaro-type summability spaces. Proc Lond Math Soc 37:508–520
- Fridy JA, Orhan C (1993) Lacunary statistical convergence. Pacific J Math 160:43–51
- 27. Savaş E (1990) On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence. Indian J Pure Appl Math 21(4):359–365
- Şengül H, Et M (2014) On lacunary statistical convergence of order α. Acta Math Sci Ser B Engl Ed 34(2):473–482

- Tripathy BC, Mahanta S (2004) On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Acta Math Appl Sin Engl Ser 20(2):231–238
- Tripathy BC, Baruah A (2010) Lacunary statistically convergent and lacunary strongly convergent generalized difference sequences of fuzzy real numbers. Kyungpook Math J 50(4): 565–574
- 31. Tripathy BC, Dutta H (2012) On some lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions and q-lacunary  $\Delta_m^n$ -statistical convergence. An Ştiint Univ "Ovidius" Constanta Ser Mat 20(1):417–430
- Tripathy BC, Hazarika B, Choudhary B (2012) Lacunary *I*-convergent sequences. Kyungpook Math J 52(4):473–482
- Tripathy BC, Dutta AJ (2013) Lacunary bounded variation sequence of fuzzy real numbers. J Intell Fuzzy Systems 24(1): 185–189
- 34. Çınar M, Karakaş M, Et M (2013) On pointwise and uniform statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  for sequence of functions. Fixed Point Theory Appl 2013:33